

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/566,003	OOSTVEEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trang U. Tran	2422	

All Participants:

(1) Trang U. Tran.

(2) Mark V. Muller.

Status of Application: Amendment after Final Action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In claim 8, line 1, the phrase: "A method of synchronizing two or more signals" has been changed to --A computer-implemented method of synchronizing two or more signals--, to place this application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)